Deep Dive

A Border Crisis Stirred Up by Foreign Interests





PERSPECTIVE

A series of suspicious explosions in Kabul and several other parts of Afghanistan last week, which the country's Taliban government claimed were the doing of Pakistan, has set off a new phase of tensions between the two uneasy neighbors. Despite no word from the Pakistani military confirming or denying any air strikes or involvement in the explosions targeting elements linked to the anti-Pakistan group Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), the Afghan Taliban government struck back, carrying out multiple attacks on Pakistani security force positions along the shared border on Saturday night.

The Pakistani military immediately hit back, launching artillery, missile, and drone strikes against positions of the Afghan Taliban and other terrorist groups along the border, in response to what it called Afghan Taliban aggression and provocative actions.

Both sides are claiming the upper hand in the tit-for-tat attacks and confrontational responses against each other. The Pakistani military, while confirming the deaths of 23 of its soldiers in the Afghan attacks, announced that at least 200 Afghan Taliban and other terrorist elements were taken out by its retaliatory forces, who also wiped out dozens of military centers and border positions in various parts of their neighbor.

The Afghan Taliban also claim to have killed over 50 Pakistani soldiers and destroyed army positions and infrastructure along the shared border strip.

Pakistan's shift in tone

In a recent statement, the spokesperson for Pakistan's Ministry of Foreign Affairs condemned the provocative actions of the Afghan Taliban and emphasized the need to lean on diplomacy and engagement to sort out shared challenges, especially terrorism. However, he

warned that any arbitrary action by the Afghan Taliban would be met with a swift and forceful response from the Pakistani armed

Shafqat Ali Khan stated that the Taliban is unwilling to address Pakistan's primary concern: cracking down on terrorist strongholds.

This statement, which reflects Pakistan's anger over Afghanistan's moves following the recent explosions in Kabul — particularly the trip by the Taliban government's Foreign Minister Amir Khan Muttaqi to India — also signals a shift in Islamabad's tone. It refrains from referring to the Taliban "government" of Afghanistan and instead uses the term "regime" for the Taliban.

The statement goes on to say: "Pakistan expects the Taliban regime to act responsibly, uphold its commitments, and play a constructive role in achieving the shared goal of wiping out terrorism from its soil. We hope the Afghan people will one day be emancipated and governed by a truly representative government." The reference to the Afghan Taliban government's foreign minister's visit to India in the official statements of Pakistan's Foreign Ministry and military points to Islamabad's sharp reaction to the diplomatic maneuvering by Kabul and New Delhi. India and Pakistan have always accused each other of using Afghan territory to undermine each other's interests. Consequently, the recent rapprochement between New Delhi and Kabul against the backdrop of Pakistan-Afghan tensions appears to have stepped up Pakistan's resolve to tackle its challenges on the Afghan border.

Need to watch out for opportunists

The border clashes between Pakistan and the Afghan Taliban are playing out as Islamabad has just wrapped up a four-day military standoff with its eastern neighbor and nuclear rival, India, in May 2025, and as Kabul has slipped out of Pakistan's influence since

the Taliban came to power in the summer of 2021. take action against terrorist groups.

Experts believe that ex-

cessive expectations and

premature calculations led

to both the Taliban and

Islamabad becoming disil-

lusioned with each other,

concluding that the under-

standing and interaction

that existed between them

before the US and NATO

withdrawal from Afghani-

stan is no longer holding up.

Political observers in Paki-

stan believe that the depth

of their country's disagree-

ments with the Afghan Tal-

iban is limited to the free

operation of the terrorist

group TTP on Afghan soil.

Islamabad had assumed

that the Taliban govern-

ment, in keeping with their

pre-withdrawal understand-

ing, would honor Pakistan's

request and act against

anti-Pakistan elements —

something that has not ma-

terialized to date. On the

contrary, Kabul has told Is-

lamabad to look inward for

Simultaneously, the Paki-

stani government, through

regional and international

diplomacy, has tried to win

over its partners regarding

the terrorism challenge in

Afghanistan and to press

Kabul to act against anti-Pa-

kistan groups. On this path,

China, the US, and some

Central Asian countries

have spoken with one voice

solutions to its problems.

Trump's dream about Bagram



Baqir Sajjad Syed, head of the Islamabad Policy Institute and a foreign affairs correspondent for the Pakistani newspaper Dawn, said in an interview with IRNA, "The Pakistan-Afghanistan tensions could pave the way for US expansionism, particularly Trump's efforts to get his hands on the crucial Bagram base on Afghan soil." He warned that any mismanagement of the border situation, the escalation of war, and subsequently giving in to Trump's proposal for a role would work to the detriment of the region and would never provide a lasting or beneficial solution for the involved parties.

This Pakistani researcher stressed, "The US wants Bagram to keep an eye on China, Iran, and even Pakistan. Therefore, any flexibility towards Donald Trump's notions will bring about dangerous consequences"

77

Experts believe that excessive expectations and premature calculations led to both the Taliban and Islamabad becoming disillusioned with each other, concludingthatthe understanding and interaction that existed between them before the **US and NATO** withdrawal from Afghanistan is no longer holding up.

US troops are loading at
Bagram airbase in 2006 during
the Afghanistan war.
LANCE CHEUNG/

US AIR FORCE



Aimen Jamil, a strategic affairs researcher from Pa-

affairs researcher from Pakistan's National Defence University, also told IRNA, "If reports about Donald Trump's efforts to take back the Bagram airbase come true, the Taliban must tread carefully and not allow this situation to be exploited or permit the harboring of anti-Pakistan groups like the TTP since such actions could lead to regional instability flaring up again."

"Pakistan's recent air strikes inside Afghanistan show its growing frustration with Kabul's approach towards the militants, and any misstep by the Taliban could call for even stronger retaliation," she added. Jamil further noted, "While

a potential US return to Afghanistan under the pretext of fighting terrorism might seem to strengthen Pakistan's hand, it could also reawaken old distrust and further complicate regional security. Ultimately, if Afghanistan again becomes an arena for external rivalry, both Pakistan and the

and this underscores the necessity for restraint and regional cooperation."



Muhammad Tahir Khan, a Pakistani expert on Afghan affairs, expressed concern to IRNA over the ambiguity of Islamabad's approach and policy towards the Afghan Taliban, saying: "Unfortunately, no clear position from Islamabad has been observed regarding the US demand to retake the Bagram base in Afghanistan, while at the recent Moscow Format meeting, all participating countries declared their opposition to any foreign interference or presence of external forces in Afghanistan."

He warned that Trump's notions about Afghanistan are worrying. "The current situation between Pakistan and Afghanistan could add up to a forward-moving process for Trump," Tahir Khan said, adding that consequently, Pakistan must proceed with caution.

Nousheen Naseer, a professor at the National Defence University in Islamabad,

