

Minister outlines
people-centered heritage
policy in Semnan

8 >



Iran Daily

Vol. 8050 • Saturday, February 14, 2026 • Bahman 25, 1404 • Sha'ban 25, 1447 • 100,000 rials • 8 pages



> irandaily.ir

| newspaper.irandaily.ir

| IranDailyWeb

Top defense official reaffirms Iranian missiles not up for negotiation

Iraqi FM says opposes use of force against Iran

2 >

Minster offers Islamic transport control hub, financial fund at OIC meeting

Trilateral talks highlight East-West corridor, transit cooperation

3 >



Iran's Minister of Roads and Urban Development Farzaneh Sadeq Malvajerd (3rd L) attends a trilateral meeting with delegations headed by her counterparts from Turkey and Uzbekistan in Istanbul, Turkey on February 12, 2026.

MEHR

Iran-US deal carries
strategic weight,
to benefit entire region



By Mostafa Alaei
Former diplomat & foreign
policy analyst

O P I N I O N

Given Donald Trump's unpredictability, the contours of his policymaking and a range of other variables, offering a precise forecast of the ongoing negotiations between Iran and the United States is no simple task. Even so, there are indications that this round differs in important ways from earlier efforts. In previous negotiations, the US ultimately turned to military action in June, seeking to deliver a decisive blow. At that time, Washington had neither tested a direct military strike on Iran nor had domestic unrest at its disposal aimed at what it described as regime change. In the current talks, it has both experiences behind it.

This time, however, Washington come back to the table after two clear setbacks. The first emerged from the 12-day war, when it became apparent that even a forceful military campaign, carried out with surprise and intensity, failed to secure its stated objectives. Damage was inflicted, but the broader goals that had been outlined were not realized. The second setback followed attempts to capitalize on unrest inside Iran. Protests rooted in legitimate demands over economic grievances were seen by Washington as an opportunity. Efforts were made to leverage that moment, including through armed elements, yet it became clear that this path, too, would not deliver the desired outcome.

Those two failed experiences for Israel and the United States in dealing with Iran suggest that the current negotiations are not simply a rerun of the past. It is therefore plausible that Trump may now contemplate offering concessions, or at least to entertain in his own calculations the need to place some on the table. This calculation unfolds against the backdrop of a massive US military deployment in the Persian Gulf, aimed at signaling deterrence and applying pressure. The show of force is unmistakable, yet this round of negotiations appears, in several respects, distinct from those that preceded it.

The strategic weight of these talks is inseparable from the broader regional context, particularly the scale of the US military buildup in the Persian Gulf and Iran's stated posture of deterrence. Tehran has made clear that if war breaks out, it will respond forcefully and that any such conflict would inevitably spill over across the region.

Page 2 >



Iran puts 'Jam-e
Jam 1' satellite
into orbit

2 >



President says
70,000 MW power
contracts signed
to fix energy
imbalance

3 >



Trump's \$1.5 trillion
'Dream Military'

O P I N I O N

4 >



Weight-class
doubts loom for
Yazdani after 97kg
setback in Zagreb

6 >



Handicrafts
value chain to
be bolstered via
gov't-drafted
comprehensive
policy

7 >

